# PATENT COOPERATION TREAT

REC'D	16	MAY	2006
WIPO			PCT

# **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
P1014PC00		it ( ) Dispite data (day/month/near)		
International application No.	International filing date (day/mon	1		
PCT/SE2005/000255	23-02-2005	23-02-2004		
International Patent Classification (IPC) o	r national classification and IPC			
See Supplemental Box				
Applicant	<u></u>			
Sahltech i Göteborg A	B et al			
This report is the international pro- Authority under Article 35 and tr	eliminary examination report, estab	olished by this International Preliminary Examining ng to Article 36.		
2. This REPORT consists of a total		ng this cover sheet.		
3. This report is also accompanied b	by Annexes, comprising.			
a. (sent to the applicant	t and to the International Bureau) :	a total of 1 sheets, as follows:		
sheets of the	description, claims and/or drawing	s which have been amended and are the basis of this report		
		ed by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the		
	ve Instructions). - supersede earlier sheets, but which	h this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes		
beyond the d	lisclosure in the international application	cation as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the		
Supplementa	al Box.			
b. (sent to the Internati	onal Bureau only) a total of (indica	ate type and number of electronic carrier(s))		
	, containing a seq	uence listing and/or tables related thereto, in electronic		
	ted in the Supplemental Box Relati	ng to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the		
Administrative Instr	uctions).			
4. This report contains indications a	relating to the following items:			
Box No. I Basis	of the report			
Box No. II Priorit	y			
Box No. III Non-e	stablishment of opinion with regar	d to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
Box No. IV Lack of	of unity of invention			
	•	with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial		
Box No. V Reaso applic	ability; citations and explanations	supporting such statement		
Box No. VI Certain documents cited				
Box No. VII Certai				
Box No. VIII Certai	in observations on the international	application		
DOATION VINE COLUMN				
Date of submission of the demand		of completion of this report		
21 09-2005		04-2006		
21-09-2005				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/SE  Patent- och registreringsverket		orized officer		
Box 5055		~ ~ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
S-102 42 STOCKHOLM		rese Sandström/Els		
Facsimile No. +46 8 667 72 88		phone No. +46 8 782 25 00		

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

International application No.

PCT/SE2005/000255

### **Supplemental Box**

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of: Cover sheet

International patent classification (IPC)

A61K 48/00 (2006.01) A61P 19/02 (2006.01)

GO1N 33/74 (2006.01)

International application No.

PCT/SE2005/000255

Box 1	No. I	Basis of the report	
1.	With r	egard to the language, this report is based on:	
	$\boxtimes$	the international application in the language in which it was filed	
		a translation of the international application into which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:	,
		international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))	
		publication of the international application (Rule 12.4(a))	
		international preliminary examination (Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))	
	furnis	regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on hed to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred re not annexed to this report):	(replacement sheets which have been d to in this report as "originally filed"
		the international application as originally filed/furnished	
	$\boxtimes$	the description:	as originally filed/furnished
		pages 1-20 received by this Authority on	as originally filed formoved
		pages* received by this Authority on received by this Authority on	
		puges	
		the claims:	as originally filed/furnished
		pages as amended (togethe	r with any statement) under Article 19
		pages* 1 received by this Authority on	03-03-2006
	$\boxtimes$	the drawings:	
		pages 1-7	as originally filed/furnished
		pages* received by this Authority on	
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to	Sequence Listing.
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
	<u></u>	the description magaz	
		the description, pages	
		the claims, Nos.	
		the drawings, sheets/figs	
		the sequence listing (specify):	
		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):	
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to the made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as 70.2(c)).	nis report and listed below had not been indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule
		the description, pages	
		the claims, Nos.	
		the drawings, sheets/figs	
1		the sequence listing (specify):	
		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):	
		7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	
*	If it	em 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."	

International application No.

PCT/SE2005/000255

Box	k No. V	Reasoned statement us citations and explanati	nder Article 3 ions supporti	35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; ng such statement	<u></u>
1.	Statement				
	Novelty (N)		Claims	1-5 Y	ÆS
í	11070		Claims		10
	Inven	tive step (IS)	Claims	Y	YES
			Claims	1-5	<b>VO</b>
	Indus	trial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-5	YES
			Claims		ON
			<u> </u>		

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

Documents cited in the International Search Report:

D1: WO03060465 A2

D2: Schäffler A. et al., "Adipocytokines in Synovial Fluid",

JAMA, October 2003, Vol. 290, No. 13, pages 1709-1710

D3: WO2004014299 A2

The present claims relate to the use of siRNA molecules targeted to resistin for the manufacture of a medicament for treating rheumatoid arthritis (RA).

D1 discloses the fact that resistin (the document uses the synonym cysteine-rich secreted A12-alpha-like protein 2) is overexpressed in individuals with RA when compared to control individuals not having RA. Methods are disclosed for treating patients with RA by administering antisense molecules targeted to resistin. A number of ways for administration is mentioned, amongst them injection and solutions. (Abstract; page 3, line 26-page 4, line 4; page 6, lines 17-24; page 13, lines 17-21; page 14, lines 6-13; page 44, line 18-page 46, line 11; page 65-page 68, line 6; page 103; page 135; claims.)

D2 shows that resistin is present in synovial fluid of the knee in patient with RA and osteoarthritis (OA). Synovial fluid concentration of resistin was significantly higher in patients with RA than in those with OA. The level of resistin was positively correlated with systemic markers of inflammation such as erythrocyte sedimentation rate and C-reactive protein. Based on the results, the authors suggest the hypothesis that resistin is involved in the inflammatory pathway of RA.

International application No.

PCT/SE2005/000255

#### **Supplemental Box**

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of: Box V

Neither D1 nor D2 disclose the use of siRNA molecules targeted to resistin in order to treat RA. Hence, the subject matter claimed in claims 1-5 is novel.

D1 is considered to be one document disclosing the closest prior art.

The subject matter claimed in claim 1 differs from D1 since the present claim 1 uses siRNA molecules and not antisense molecules to decrease the expression/activity of resistin in order to treat RA.

To use siRNA molecules instead of antisense molecules leads to a more simple and effective way of treating RA. A siRNA molecule is not dependent on the secondary structural characteristics of the mRNA molecule to be targeted. A siRNA molecules lead to sequence specific degradation of the target mRNA. Additionally, even very small amounts of siRNA are considered to be effective.

Thus, the problem to be solved is to provide a more simple and effective way of treating RA.

Nowadays, siRNA molecules and their characteristics are well known in this area of research. All the features mention above are known for the person skilled in the art to be features of siRNA molecules. Hence, to use siRNA molecules instead of antisense molecules in order to solve the problem stated above is considered to lie close to hand for a person skilled in the art. Consequently, the subject matter claimed in claim 1 is considered to lack an inventive step in the absence of any demonstrated unexpected or special results.

Additional aspects as claimed in claims 2-5 are either already mentioned in D1 or considered to be detailed executions obvious for a person killed in the art. Thus, also the subject matter claimed in claims 2-5 is considered to lack an inventive step.

D2 is another document considered to disclose the closest prior art.

.../...

International application No.

PCT/SE2005/000255

#### **Supplemental Box**

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of: Box V

D2 shows similar results as D1, i.e. a connection between resistin and RA. However, D2 does not suggest any further applications of the results obtained. However, for a person skilled in the art, it seems obvious to draw the conclusion that down-regulation of resistin could be one way of trying to treat RA. Once having drawn that conclusion, the subject matter claimed in claims 1-5 is considered to lie close to hand for the person skilled in the art. This may be argued in a similar manner as for D1 above.

D3 is considered to represent the general state of the art.

To summarise, the subject matter claimed in claims 1-5 is novel but is not considered to involve an inventive step. The subject matter claimed in claims 1-5 is considered to be industrially applicable.

International application No.

PCT/SE2005/000255

### Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claim 1 has been amended in an attempt to define the target of the siRNA molecule. However, the wording "a siRNA <u>of</u> the resistin mRNA" is still a bit unclear. With this present wording, it sounds like the siRNA is a part of the mRNA molecule, which can not be the case since mRNA is single-stranded and a siRNA molecule is double stranded.